2017 ASCO Abstract # TPS11081

TAPPAS: An Adaptive Enrichment Phase 3 Trial of <u>T</u>RC105 <u>And P</u>azopanib Versus <u>P</u>azopanib Alone in Patients with Advanced <u>AngioSarcoma</u>

Robin L. Jones, Steven Attia, Cyrus R. Mehta, Lingyun Liu, Kamalesh K. Sankhala, Steven I. Robinson, Vinod Ravi, Nicolas Penel, Silvia Stacchiotti, William D. Tap, Delia Alvarez, Richard Yocum, Charles P. Theuer, Robert G. Maki

Royal Marsden Hospital/Institute of Cancer Research, London, United Kingdom; Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL; Cytel Inc, Cambridge, MA; Sarcoma Oncology Center, Santa Monica, CA; Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; Centre Oscar Lambret, Lille, France; Fondazione IRCCS - Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy; Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; TRACON Pharmaceuticals, Inc., San Diego, CA; Northwell Health, Lake Success, NY



COMPARISON OF ADAPTIVE AND FIXED SAMPLE DESIGNS

 In a retrospective study of 40 AS patients treated with single agent pazopanib, median PFS was 3.1 months and median OS was 9.9 months with no complete responses (Kollar 2016).

Sarcoma with an overall response rate of 4% by RECIST 1.1 and progression free survival (PFS) of 4.6 months

- Endoglin is an essential angiogenic receptor expressed on AS (Fritchie 2013) that is upregulated following VEGF inhibition. TRC105, an endoglin antibody, given with pazopanib produced durable complete responses in AS patients with median PFS of 5.6 months in refractory patients including those who received prior pazopanib (Attia 2016).
- By targeting a non-VEGF pathway that is upregulated following VEGF inhibition and densely expressed in AS, TRC105 has the potential to complement pazopanib in patients with AS.
- The TAPPAS adaptive enrichment design allows for sample size re-estimation to 200 AS patients or enrichment of 100 additional cutaneous AS patients based on conditional power determined at the interim analysis.
- Compared to a fixed sample size design of 200 patients, TAPPAS maintains > 80% power and provides for smaller trial size and shorter duration at the hazard ratio of 0.55 for both cutaneous and non-cutaneous patients.
- Compared to a fixed sample design of 200 patients, TAPPAS provides for greater power, smaller trial size and shorter duration in the case of activity only in cutaneous patients.
- TAPPAS maintains > 80% power in the favorable, promising and enrichment zones at the hazard ratio of 0.55 for the cutaneous subgroup even with larger hazard ratios in the non-cutaneous subgroup.
- Type 1 error (two-tailed alpha of 0.05) is preserved through adaption of the method of Jenkins et al (2011).

SUMMARY

STUDY OBJECTIVES

Primary

• Compare PFS of TRC105 and pazopanib vs single agent pazopanib in patients with unresectable angiosarcoma

Secondary

- Compare the objective response rate (ORR) of TRC105 and pazopanib vs single agent pazopanib
- Compare overall survival (OS) of TRC105 and pazopanib vs single agent pazopanib
- Assess the overall safety and tolerability of TRC105 and pazopanib vs single agent pazopanib
- Characterize patient reported outcomes between the two arms of the study

following treatment with one prior VEGFR TKI (van der Graaf 2012).

- Characterize the PK profile of TRC105 and pazopanib between the two arms of the study
- Assess PFS and ORR by Investigator assessment between the two arms of the study
- Characterize the immunogenicity of TRC105

Exploratory

- Correlate efficacy endpoints (e.g., PFS, ORR, and OS) with endoglin expression on angiosarcoma tumor samples
- Correlate efficacy endpoints (e.g., PFS, ORR, and OS) with circulating angiogenic protein biomarkers
- Correlate efficacy endpoints (e.g., PFS, ORR, and OS) with numbers of endoglin expressing circulating tumor cells (CTCs)

ELIGIBILITY

- Advanced cutaneous and non-cutaneous angiosarcoma not amenable to curative intent surgery
- Measurable disease by RECIST 1.1
- No prior treatment with a VEGF inhibitor
- 0, 1, or 2 prior lines of therapy
- · ECOG ≤ 1

- Based on the results from Phase 1b/2 trial, the combination of TRC105 and pazopanib was well tolerated and was active in patients with AS.
- The pivotal TAPPAS trial is enrolling now and will include approximately 40 sites in the US and Europe.
- In a rare disease, a trial that adapts the sample size and patient population, based on interim data from the trial itself, is preferable to a larger 200 patient fixed sample trial.
- TAPPAS trial design details are at https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT02979899.

REFERENCES

- Anderberg C, The Journal of Experimental Medicine 210:563-79, 2013
- Attia, S, ASCO Annual Meeting 2016, Abstract # 11016
- Bockhorn M, Clinical Cancer Research 9:4221-4226, 2003
- Davis DW, Cancer Research 64:4601-4610, 2004
- Duarte CW, Can Epi Bio & Prev 23:117-25, 2014
- Fritchie K, EORTC-AACR-NCI 2013
- Gordon MS, Clinical Cancer Research 20:5918-5926, 2014
- Jenkins M, Pharm. Stats 10:347-56, 2011
- Kollar A, EORTC STBSG, 2016
- Liu Y, Investigational New Drugs 32(5): 851-859, 2014
- Nolan-Stevaux O, PLOS One e50920, 7:1-12, 2012
- Rosen L, Clinical Cancer Research 18:4820-9, 2012
- Seon BK, Current Drug Delivery 8:135-143, 2011
- van der Graaf WT, Lancet 19:1879-86, 2012



Copies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from ASCO[®] and the author of this poster.